SAFE-D

STRENGTHENING WORKPLACE SAFETY THROUGH APPLIED ERGONOMICS IN THE DIGITAL AGE

SAFE-D Trainers Manual

Co-funded by the European Union

Safe D: Strengthening Workplace Safety Through Applied Ergonomics in The Digital Age 2023-2-HU01-KA210-ADU-000171333

SAFE-D Trainers Manual

Tihomir Dovramadjiev, Mustafa Hilmi ÇOLAKOĞLU, Gyula Szabó

Result of the
SAFE-D: Strengthening Workplace Safety through Applied Ergonomics in the Digital Age,
project number: 2023-2-HU01-KA210-ADU-000171333
Action 2

Prepared in 2025; revised and finalised in May 2026.

Activity Time Interval: 01/02/2025–31/03/2026

Consortium:

Cappadocia Innovation Institute Technology Ltd. Co., Türkiye	Cappinno.com
Bulgarian Association of Ergonomics, Bulgaria	baehfofficial.wixsite.com/baehf
DSGI Ergonómiai Mérnökiroda kft, Hungary	dsgi.hu

How to cite this document:

Tihomir Dovramadjiev, Mustafa Hilmi ÇOLAKOĞLU, Gyula Szabó
SAFE-D Trainers Manual.
Budapest, SAFE-D consortium, 2025

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the Tempus Public Foundation. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

Co-funded by the European Union

Safe D: Strengthening Workplace Safety Through Applied Ergonomics In The Digital Age 2023-2-HU01-KA210-ADU-000171333

Contents

1 Introduction

The SAFE-D project, *Strengthening Workplace Safety through Applied Ergonomics in the Digital Age*, was implemented as an Erasmus+ partnership project by organisations from Hungary, Bulgaria and Türkiye. The project addressed a practical challenge that is common in many small and medium-sized enterprises: ergonomic risks are present in everyday work, but they are often managed informally, without systematic analysis, structured learning or continuous workplace improvement.

The project was based on the idea that ergonomics can contribute not only to accident prevention and legal compliance, but also to healthier work, better work organisation, employee participation and sustainable organisational performance. In small enterprises, access to ergonomic expertise, training opportunities and structured improvement methods is often limited. Therefore, SAFE-D focused on developing a practical training and support approach that helps organisations recognise ergonomic problems, understand their causes and implement feasible improvements in real workplace settings.

Training has a central role in the SAFE-D approach. It is not understood as a one-way transfer of theoretical knowledge, but as a guided learning and intervention process. Participants are encouraged to observe work situations, identify risks, discuss problems with employees, define realistic goals, plan improvements, test solutions and reflect on the results. In this sense, the training process supports both competence development and practical workplace change.

The project results are presented in four complementary documents: the **Need Analysis Report**, the **Curriculum and Intervention Guidebook**, the **Tool Box** and the **Trainers Manual**. Together, these materials provide a training-based framework for applying ergonomics in small and medium-sized enterprises.

Purpose and Use of the Trainers Manual

The SAFE-D Trainers Manual supports the facilitation of the SAFE-D ergonomic training and intervention process. It is intended for trainers, facilitators, adult educators and occupational safety or ergonomics professionals who guide participants through workplace-based learning and improvement activities.

The manual does not duplicate the technical content of the SAFE-D Curriculum and Intervention Guidebook or the practical resources of the SAFE-D Tool Box. Instead, it explains how the training and intervention process can be facilitated in real SME contexts. It supports trainers in creating a constructive learning environment, building relevance, guiding observation and analysis, helping participants define realistic goals, supporting implementation and facilitating reflection on results.

In the SAFE-D approach, the trainer is not primarily a lecturer who transfers ready-made solutions. The trainer acts as a facilitator, process guide and reflective partner. This role is especially important in small enterprises, where time, resources and specialist capacity may be

limited, and where feasible improvements often depend on employee involvement, practical judgement and gradual change.

The manual follows the SAFE-D intervention logic from initial awareness and goal setting to situation analysis, planning, implementation, evaluation and consolidation. Each section provides practical guidance, suggested session structures, facilitation questions and advice for handling typical participant reactions or implementation barriers.

The Trainers Manual should be used together with the other SAFE-D documents. The **Need Analysis Report** explains the empirical background of the project. The **Curriculum and Intervention Guidebook** describes the learning and intervention logic. The **Tool Box** provides practical methods and workplace resources. The **Trainers Manual** helps facilitators bring these elements together in a guided training and implementation process.

2 Orientation and Framing

The Role of the Trainer and the SAFE-D Facilitation Approach

2.1 Purpose of This Manual

This manual supports trainers and facilitators in guiding participants through a complete ergonomic intervention process based on the SAFE-D reference model.

It does not provide ready-made teaching scripts.
It does not replace professional ergonomic expertise.
It does not prescribe fixed solutions.

Its purpose is to help trainers:

- structure the intervention process,
- support attitude development,
- manage group dynamics,
- guide reflection and decision-making,
- and maintain coherence with the A1 Guidebook.

The manual assumes that participants can access professional knowledge and standards when needed. The trainer's role is therefore not to "transfer knowledge", but to enable participants to apply knowledge responsibly in their own context.

2.2 The Role of the Trainer

In the SAFE-D model, the trainer acts primarily as:

- Facilitator
- Process guide
- Reflective partner
- Motivational supporter

The trainer is not:

- a lecturer delivering structured academic content,
- a technical decision-maker,
- or a problem-solver replacing participants' responsibility.

2.2.1 Core Trainer Responsibilities

- Maintain process clarity.
- Encourage ownership of decisions.
- Support realistic goal-setting.
- Protect psychological safety in discussions.
- Reinforce reflection after each intervention step.

2.3 Pedagogical Foundations

The SAFE-D approach is grounded in:

- adult learning principles,
- experiential learning,
- peer-based knowledge exchange,
- project-based learning,
- and reflective practice.

The training process is built around:

- relevance,
- practical action,
- and iterative improvement.

The assumption is that:

sustainable ergonomic improvement in SMEs depends more on engagement and ownership than on the volume of theoretical knowledge delivered.

2.4 Setting the Learning Environment

Before starting the intervention cycle, the trainer should ensure:

2.4.1 Psychological Safety

Participants must feel safe to:

- describe problems openly,
- admit uncertainty,
- test ideas without fear of criticism.

2.4.2 Clear Expectations

Clarify that:

- the programme is not a traditional course,
- participants will work on their own real cases,
- responsibility remains with them.

2.4.3 Realistic Scope

Emphasize:

- small, feasible changes,
- low-cost solutions,
- gradual development.

2.5 Typical Early Challenges and How to Handle Them

2.5.1 Challenge 1: “Just tell us the correct solution.”

Trainer strategy:

- Redirect to context.
- Ask: “What would work in your specific situation?”

2.5.2 Challenge 2: Passive Participants

Trainer strategy:

- Ask direct, concrete questions.
- Invite personal examples.
- Encourage peer comparison.

2.5.3 Challenge 3: Overly Technical Expectations

Participants may expect:

- detailed standards,
- calculations,
- formal risk assessment training.

Trainer strategy:

- Explain that professional standards are tools.
- Emphasize that this programme focuses on application and decision-making.

2.6 Opening Session Structure (Recommended Flow)

1. Welcome and framing (15–20 min)
2. Explanation of SAFE-D intervention logic
3. Participant expectations mapping
4. First reflective exercise:
    - "Describe one workplace discomfort you experience daily."

This sets the tone:

- practical,
- relevant,
- participant-driven.

2.7 Key Trainer Questions for Orientation Phase

- What motivates you to participate in this process?
- What would make this programme valuable for you?
- Where do you feel ergonomic issues affect your daily work?
- What small improvement would already matter?

2.8 Transition to Step 1

Close the orientation phase by emphasizing:

- This is not about perfect solutions.
- It is about structured experimentation.
- Small improvements are legitimate success.
- Every participant is responsible for their own intervention project.

3 Relevance Building and Personal Engagement

Making Ergonomics Personally Meaningful

3.1 Purpose of This Phase

The first substantive phase of the SAFE-D intervention focuses on **relevance and personal engagement**.

Before any structured analysis or solution development begins, participants must:

- recognise that ergonomic issues are present in their own work context,
- connect these issues to their own experience,
- and acknowledge that change is both possible and necessary.

Without this step, later phases risk becoming theoretical or externally motivated.

The objective here is not technical precision.
The objective is **personal ownership**.

3.2 Trainer Role in This Phase

The trainer's responsibility is to:

- create a reflective atmosphere,
- encourage concrete examples,
- avoid abstract generalisations,
- and prevent the discussion from shifting prematurely toward technical solutions.

The focus must remain on:

- lived experience,
- perceived discomfort,
- inefficiencies,
- and daily frustrations.

3.3 Suggested Session Structure

3.3.1 Step 1 – Individual Reflection (10–15 minutes)

Ask participants to silently reflect and write down:

- one recurring discomfort,
- one inefficient work element,
- or one workplace situation they would like to improve.

Encourage specificity.

Instead of:

“Our workplace is not ergonomic.”

Encourage:

“After three hours at my workstation, my lower back hurts.”

3.3.2 Step 2 – Small Group Sharing (15–20 minutes)

Participants share their examples in small groups.

Trainer tasks:

- observe recurring patterns,
- identify common themes,
- note emotional tone (frustration, resignation, indifference).

3.3.3 Step 3 – Plenary Discussion (20–30 minutes)

Collect examples on a board or shared document.

Cluster them into categories such as:

- physical strain,
- tool or equipment issues,
- workflow inefficiencies,
- communication or organisational stressors.

3.4 Key Facilitation Questions

To deepen reflection, the trainer may ask:

- How long has this issue existed?
- Why has it not been addressed so far?
- Who else is affected by it?
- What makes it difficult to change?
- If this problem disappeared tomorrow, what would improve?

These questions shift focus from complaint to awareness.

3.5 Typical Participant Reactions

3.5.1 Reaction 1: Normalisation

"It's like this everywhere."

Trainer strategy:

- Ask: "Does that make it acceptable?"
- Invite comparison with examples of improvement.

3.5.2 Reaction 2: Externalisation

"It's management's responsibility."

Trainer strategy:

- Ask: "What is within your sphere of influence?"
- Redirect from blame to agency.

3.5.3 Reaction 3: Minimisation

"It's not a serious problem."

Trainer strategy:

- Explore cumulative effects.
- Ask: "How does this affect you after months or years?"

3.6 Linking to the SAFE-D Intervention Model

At the end of this phase, the trainer should explicitly connect the discussion to the structured intervention logic.

Suggested framing:

"We are not trying to solve everything at once.
We are identifying one real issue that you are willing to examine more closely and possibly improve."

Participants should leave this phase with:

- one clearly defined workplace issue,
- a personal commitment to explore it further,
- and an understanding that small improvements are legitimate outcomes.

3.7 Output of Phase 1

Each participant should produce:

- a short written description of their chosen issue,
- an explanation of why it matters,
- a preliminary reflection on potential impact.

This becomes the starting point for:

➞ Chapter 4 – Goal Setting and Scope Definition

4 Goal Setting and Scope Definition

From Identified Issues to Realistic Intervention Goals

4.1 Purpose of This Phase

After participants have identified a personally relevant ergonomic issue, the next step is to transform this awareness into a **clear, realistic, and manageable intervention goal**.

This phase prevents two common risks:

- remaining at the level of general dissatisfaction,
- attempting to solve problems that are too broad or structurally complex.

The objective is to define:

- a concrete focus area,
- a realistic intervention scope,
- and a measurable or observable improvement target.

This phase strengthens **responsibility and feasibility awareness**, which are central to the SAFE-D approach.

4.2 Trainer Role in This Phase

The trainer supports participants in:

- narrowing the scope of their problem,
- distinguishing between systemic constraints and actionable elements,
- formulating goals that are within their influence.

The trainer must resist the temptation to define goals on behalf of participants.
Ownership must remain with the learner.

4.3 From Problem Statement to Goal Statement

Participants typically begin with statements such as:

- "The workstation is badly designed."
- "There is too much manual lifting."
- "The workflow is chaotic."

The trainer should guide them toward:

- What exactly do you want to change?
- What does improvement look like in observable terms?
- What would be different after a successful intervention?

Encourage transformation into structured goals:

Instead of:

"Reduce back pain."

Encourage:

"Adjust the workstation height and seating position to reduce lower back discomfort during a 4-hour work period."

4.4 Defining Scope

Scope definition is critical in SME contexts where:

- resources are limited,
- authority structures may be informal,
- and time constraints are significant.

The trainer should help participants clarify:

What is inside your control?

What requires approval from others?

What is outside your influence?

A practical technique is to divide a sheet into three columns:

Direct control

Shared influence

No influence

This supports realistic planning and reduces frustration.

4.5 SMART Goals – With Adaptation

While classical SMART logic (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound) can be useful, it should be applied flexibly.

The emphasis is not on formal precision but on:

- clarity,
- feasibility,
- and relevance.

The trainer may ask:

- Is your goal specific enough that another person could understand it?
- Can you observe whether progress has occurred?
- Is this achievable within the project timeframe?

4.6 Managing Over-Ambition

A frequent pattern in motivated groups is excessive ambition:

- redesigning entire production areas,
- changing company policy,
- or addressing structural management issues.

The trainer must gently redirect toward:

- small-scale interventions,
- pilot testing,
- incremental change.

Suggested framing:

“What is the smallest meaningful improvement you could implement within the next weeks?”

4.7 Written Goal Definition

At the end of this phase, each participant should produce:

- A written intervention goal,
- A short description of scope,
- An initial estimation of required resources,
- A preliminary timeframe.

This document becomes the reference point for later evaluation.

4.8 Group Reflection

Facilitate short peer feedback:

- Is the goal clear?
- Is it realistic?
- Is it relevant to workplace safety and ergonomics?
- Does it match available authority and resources?

Peer questioning strengthens commitment and realism.

4.9 Output of Phase 2

By the end of this phase, participants should have:

- A clearly defined intervention goal,
- A realistic and bounded scope,
- A sense of ownership and commitment,
- A documented starting point for further analysis.

This prepares the transition to:

➞ Chapter 5 – Situation Analysis and Information Gathering

5 Situation Analysis and Information Gathering

Seeing the Workplace with Analytical Awareness

5.1 Purpose of This Phase

After defining a clear and realistic intervention goal, participants must examine their workplace situation in a structured and evidence-oriented way.

This phase shifts the process from:

- subjective perception
  to
- structured observation and informed understanding.

The objective is not to conduct a full professional ergonomic assessment.
The objective is to develop:

- observational competence,
- analytical awareness,
- and responsible use of available information.

Participants learn to look at their work environment differently.

5.2 Trainer Role in This Phase

The trainer supports participants in:

- moving beyond assumptions,
- distinguishing between symptoms and causes,
- gathering relevant information systematically.

The trainer must avoid turning this into a lecture on ergonomic theory.
Instead, the trainer introduces tools as needed and in context.

5.3 Core Principle: Observe Before You Decide

Participants often want to move quickly toward solutions.
This phase deliberately slows down the process.

Encourage participants to ask:

- What exactly is happening?
- When does the problem occur?
- Under what conditions?
- Who is affected?
- How frequently?

The trainer reinforces that:

Careful observation reduces ineffective or superficial solutions.

5.4 Suggested Observation Techniques

Depending on context, participants may use:

- Direct workplace observation
- Short self-monitoring logs (time, discomfort, posture)
- Photographic documentation (if permitted)
- Simple measurement tools (distance, height, repetition count)
- Short informal interviews with colleagues

The trainer should emphasise practicality and proportionality.
Complex measurements are unnecessary unless clearly justified.

5.5 Using the Curriculum and Intervention Guidebook and SAFE-D Tool Box as Reference Resources

This is the first phase where the Curriculum and Intervention Guidebook and the SAFE-D Tool Box become actively relevant.

Participants may consult it for:

- basic ergonomic principles,
- reference values,
- simple risk identification methods,
- regulatory context.

The trainer should demonstrate how to use the guidebook as a resource:

- not as mandatory reading,
- but as targeted support.

Suggested question:

- Which section of the guidebook might help you understand this issue better?

5.6 Distinguishing Between Symptom and Root Cause

Participants often describe symptoms, such as:

- fatigue,
- discomfort,
- delays.

The trainer encourages deeper exploration:

- What triggers this?
- What environmental or organisational factors contribute?
- Is this a design issue, workflow issue, behavioural issue, or resource issue?

A useful exercise:

Ask participants to describe the problem in three layers:

1. What is visible?
2. What might be contributing?
3. What might be systemic?

This supports analytical thinking without overcomplication.

5.7 Managing Data Overload

Some participants may attempt to collect excessive information.

The trainer should clarify:

- Information must serve the defined goal.
- Data collection should remain proportionate.
- Perfect measurement is not required for improvement.

Suggested framing:

"Collect enough information to make an informed decision — not to write a research paper."

5.8 Reflection Questions for Participants

- What did you discover that you had not noticed before?
- Did your understanding of the problem change?
- What assumptions turned out to be incorrect?
- What factors are within your influence?

These questions help participants internalise learning.

5.9 Output of Phase 3

Each participant should produce:

- A short analytical summary of the current situation,
- Identified contributing factors,
- A brief note on relevant standards or references consulted,
- A refined understanding of the problem scope.

This creates the foundation for solution development.

5.10 Transition to Next Phase

Close this phase by emphasizing:

"Now that you understand the situation better, you are in a position to explore possible solutions responsibly."

This prepares the move to:

➞ Chapter 6 – Exploring Options and Concept Development

6 Exploring Options and Concept Development

From Analysis to Feasible Improvement Concepts

6.1 Purpose of This Phase

After participants have clarified their goal and analysed their situation, the next step is to explore possible improvement options.

This phase aims to:

- broaden thinking beyond the first intuitive solution,
- encourage comparison of alternatives,
- and support structured decision preparation.

The objective is not to identify the perfect solution.
The objective is to develop **one or more feasible intervention concepts** grounded in the previous analysis.

6.2 Trainer Role in This Phase

The trainer acts as:

- facilitator of structured creativity,
- moderator of realistic thinking,
- supporter of balanced evaluation.

The trainer should:

- encourage alternative thinking,
- prevent premature narrowing,
- but also avoid uncontrolled idea generation without focus.

The process must remain connected to the defined goal and scope.

6.3 Generating Alternative Solutions

Participants should be encouraged to formulate at least:

- two or three different solution approaches.

These may include:

- technical modifications,
- organisational adjustments,

- behavioural changes,
- low-cost redesign,
- temporary test arrangements.

Encourage diversity before evaluation.

Trainer prompt examples:

- If cost were not a limitation, what would you change?
- If cost is the main limitation, what is the simplest adjustment?
- What have others done in similar situations?
- What would be the smallest possible modification?

6.4 Using External References Responsibly

This is another point where the Curriculum and Intervention Guidebook and the SAFE-D Tool Box become relevant.

Participants may consult:

- ergonomic principles,
- anthropometric recommendations,
- regulatory requirements,
- examples of good practice.

The trainer should emphasise:

- references support decisions,
- but they do not replace contextual judgement.

Suggested reflection:

- Does this proposed solution align with basic ergonomic principles?
- Does it conflict with any safety or regulatory requirement?

6.5 Evaluating Feasibility

Once several options are listed, guide participants through structured comparison.

Evaluation criteria may include:

- feasibility within current resources,
- expected impact,
- required time,
- acceptance by colleagues or management,
- reversibility if the solution does not work.

A simple evaluation table can be used:

Option Expected Impact Effort Required Risks Decision

The trainer should ensure evaluation remains proportional and not overly bureaucratic.

6.6 Addressing Common Barriers

Participants may encounter internal barriers:

- "We don't have the budget."
- "Management will not support this."
- "This is how we have always done it."

Trainer strategies:

- Explore small-scale pilot versions.
- Identify elements within personal influence.
- Encourage testing rather than full implementation.
- Reinforce incremental change logic.

Suggested question:

- What is the smallest version of this idea that you could realistically test?

6.7 From Options to Concept

By the end of this phase, participants should:

- select one preferred intervention concept,
- justify why it was chosen,
- outline expected effects,
- identify potential constraints.

This does not yet require detailed planning — that is the next phase.

The concept should be:

- realistic,
- proportionate,
- aligned with the defined goal.

6.8 Reflection Questions

- What surprised you while exploring alternatives?

- Did your initial idea remain the best option?
- How did analysis influence your choice?
- What trade-offs did you accept?

These reflections reinforce structured decision-making competence.

6.9 Output of Phase 4

Participants should produce:

- A short written description of the selected intervention concept,
- A brief comparison of alternatives,
- A rationale for the chosen approach.

This creates a documented decision basis.

6.10 Transition to Next Phase

Close the phase with:

"You have selected a concept. Now we translate it into a realistic and structured implementation plan."

This prepares the move to:

➞ Chapter 7 – Planning and Decision-Making

7 Planning and Decision-Making

Translating the Concept into an Actionable Plan

7.1 Purpose of This Phase

After selecting a preferred intervention concept, participants must convert it into a **clear and realistic action plan**.

This phase transforms intention into commitment.

The objective is to:

- clarify concrete steps,
- define responsibilities,
- anticipate obstacles,
- and confirm the decision to act.

Without structured planning, even well-developed concepts remain theoretical.

7.2 Trainer Role in This Phase

The trainer supports participants in:

- structuring their implementation steps,
- identifying decision points,
- clarifying authority and responsibility,
- ensuring proportionality and realism.

The trainer should not design the plan for the participant, but guide the planning logic.

7.3 Breaking the Concept into Steps

Participants should decompose their intervention into manageable actions.

Trainer prompt:

- What needs to happen first?
- What comes next?
- Who needs to be informed?
- What resources are required?

Encourage sequencing.

Example structure:

1. Preparation (discussion with supervisor, resource confirmation)
2. Physical or organisational adjustment
3. Short-term test phase
4. Observation period
5. Evaluation discussion

7.4 Clarifying Responsibility and Authority

A frequent weakness in workplace interventions is unclear responsibility.

Participants should define:

- Who is responsible for each step?
- Who must approve the change?
- Who may resist the change?

Trainer reflection questions:

- Do you have formal authority?
- If not, how can you gain support?
- Who is an ally in this process?

This reinforces realistic workplace awareness.

7.5 Anticipating Risks and Resistance

Participants should consider:

- What could go wrong?
- Who might oppose the change?
- What unintended effects may appear?

The trainer should normalise uncertainty:

No intervention is risk-free. Planning reduces avoidable mistakes.

Encourage preparation rather than avoidance.

7.6 Timeframe Definition

The plan should include:

- a realistic start date,
- a defined testing period,
- a preliminary evaluation moment.

Emphasise short cycles.

Long-term, vague timelines reduce accountability.

Trainer prompt:

- When will you know whether this intervention works?

7.7 Proportional Documentation

Planning should be documented clearly but concisely.

The plan should include:

- Objective
- Selected intervention
- Step sequence
- Responsible persons

- Timeline
- Evaluation method

Avoid excessive bureaucracy.

The aim is clarity, not paperwork.

7.8 Reinforcing Commitment

Before moving forward, the trainer should ask directly:

- Are you committed to implementing this plan?
- What might prevent you from starting?
- What support do you need?

This moment strengthens ownership and accountability.

7.9 Output of Phase 5

Each participant should produce:

- A structured implementation plan,
- Clear step sequencing,
- Defined responsibilities,
- A timeframe,
- A simple evaluation strategy.

This becomes the working document for the next phase.

7.10 Transition to Next Phase

Close this phase with:

"You now have a structured plan. The next step is implementation and testing. This is where learning becomes practical."

Transition to:

➞ Chapter 8 – Implementation and Testing

8 Implementation and Testing

Learning Through Action

8.1 Purpose of This Phase

This phase represents the transition from planning to practical execution.

The objective is not perfection.
The objective is:

- real-world testing,
- experiential learning,
- and structured observation of outcomes.

This is where participants confront reality:

- unforeseen constraints,
- practical limitations,
- organisational reactions,
- and their own uncertainty.

The trainer's role is to ensure that this confrontation becomes learning rather than frustration.

8.2 Trainer Role in This Phase

During implementation, the trainer acts as:

- supporter,
- reflective partner,
- stabilising influence.

The trainer does not intervene directly in workplace decisions but supports participants in:

- staying aligned with their plan,
- observing outcomes objectively,
- adjusting when necessary.

8.3 Encouraging Controlled Experimentation

Participants should understand that:

- this is a pilot intervention,
- reversibility is acceptable,
- minor adjustments are normal.

Trainer framing:

"You are testing an improvement, not making a permanent organisational reform."

This reduces fear of failure.

8.4 Monitoring During Testing

Participants should observe:

- changes in physical strain,
- workflow differences,
- reactions from colleagues,
- unexpected side effects.

Encourage simple monitoring methods:

- short daily notes,
- comparison with baseline conditions,
- informal feedback from colleagues.

Avoid overcomplicated measurement systems.

8.5 Managing Unexpected Outcomes

Implementation rarely unfolds exactly as planned.

Common scenarios:

- The solution works partially.
- New problems emerge.
- Resistance appears.
- The intervention has minimal impact.

Trainer strategy:

- Normalise adaptation.
- Encourage analysis rather than discouragement.
- Reinforce incremental logic.

Reflection prompts:

- What changed compared to the original situation?
- What did not change?
- What needs adjustment?

8.6 Dealing with Resistance

Resistance may come from:

- management,
- colleagues,
- organisational routines.

The trainer should guide participants to:

- differentiate between structural resistance and communication gaps,
- identify allies,
- clarify misunderstandings.

Key question:

- Is the resistance about the intervention itself, or about how it was introduced?

8.7 Maintaining Motivation

Implementation may reveal slow progress.

Trainer responsibilities:

- remind participants of initial goals,
- highlight small improvements,
- reinforce the value of experimentation.

Encourage recognition of:

- even minor reductions in discomfort,
- increased awareness,
- improved communication.

These are valid achievements.

8.8 Documentation During Implementation

Participants should record:

- what was implemented,
- when it was implemented,
- immediate observations,
- any modifications introduced.

Documentation should remain simple and focused.

The goal is traceability, not formal reporting.

8.9 Output of Phase 6

By the end of this phase, participants should have:

- executed their intervention,
- documented observations,
- identified positive and negative effects,
- noted any adjustments made.

This prepares the structured evaluation phase.

8.10 Transition to Next Phase

Close this phase with:

"You have moved from intention to action. Now we evaluate what this action has actually achieved."

Transition to:

➞ Chapter 9 – Evaluation and Reflection

9 Evaluation and Reflection

Understanding Impact and Consolidating Learning

9.1 Purpose of This Phase

Implementation alone does not guarantee learning.

This phase ensures that participants:

- consciously evaluate the effects of their intervention,
- reflect on both outcomes and process,
- and integrate the experience into their future decision-making.

The objective is not formal audit-style evaluation.
The objective is structured reflection that transforms action into competence.

9.2 Trainer Role in This Phase

The trainer facilitates:

- honest evaluation,
- balanced discussion,

- constructive feedback,
- and collective reflection.

The trainer must prevent:

- defensive reactions,
- over-justification,
- or superficial celebration without analysis.

The focus remains on learning, not on proving success.

9.3 Evaluating Outcomes

Participants should compare:

- the original goal,
- the implemented intervention,
- and the observed results.

Trainer guidance:

Encourage comparison along simple dimensions:

- Physical impact (discomfort, strain, fatigue)
- Organisational impact (workflow, efficiency)
- Social impact (colleague reactions)
- Personal impact (confidence, awareness)

Key reflection questions:

- Did the intervention achieve the intended effect?
- What measurable or observable changes occurred?
- Were there unexpected consequences?

9.4 Distinguishing Between Partial Success and Failure

Participants may classify results as:

- fully successful,
- partially effective,
- ineffective.

The trainer must reinforce:

Partial improvement is still progress.

Encourage participants to explore:

- Why did it work?
- Why did it only partially work?
- What limited its effectiveness?

This strengthens analytical competence rather than discouragement.

9.5 Reflecting on the Process

Beyond technical impact, participants should reflect on:

- how they approached the problem,
- how they handled resistance,
- what they learned about decision-making.

Trainer prompts:

- What was the most difficult part of the process?
- What surprised you?
- What would you do differently next time?

This moves learning from task-level to competence-level.

9.6 Group-Based Reflection

Peer discussion is particularly valuable at this stage.

Suggested structure:

1. Each participant presents:
    - initial issue,
    - selected intervention,
    - outcome.
2. Peers provide:
    - one positive observation,
    - one constructive question.

The trainer moderates to ensure:

- respectful communication,
- solution-focused feedback,
- avoidance of judgment.

Peer comparison enhances legitimacy and confidence.

9.7 Recognising Attitude Development

This phase is critical for identifying attitudinal change.

The trainer should explicitly ask:

- Has your perception of workplace ergonomics changed?
- Do you now see problems differently?
- Do you feel more capable of initiating improvements?

These questions reinforce the central SAFE-D objective:
attitude and ownership development.

9.8 Documentation of Evaluation

Participants should document:

- final outcome assessment,
- identified improvements,
- remaining challenges,
- and personal learning points.

This provides closure and a reference for future action.

Documentation remains concise and reflective.

9.9 Output of Phase 7

By the end of this phase, participants should have:

- a clear understanding of intervention impact,
- identified learning points,
- recognised attitude development,
- and increased confidence in structured problem-solving.

9.10 Transition to Final Phase

Close this phase with:

“You have evaluated this intervention. Now we consider how to sustain and extend what you have learned.”

Transition to:

➞ Chapter 10 – Sharing, Consolidation and Future Orientation

10 Sharing, Consolidation and Future Orientation

From Project-Based Intervention to Sustainable Practice

10.1 Purpose of This Phase

The final phase ensures that the ergonomic intervention does not remain an isolated project experience.

The objectives are to:

- consolidate learning,
- reinforce long-term attitude change,
- support knowledge transfer within the organisation,
- and encourage continued improvement beyond the training programme.

This phase transforms an intervention exercise into a sustainable professional competence.

10.2 Trainer Role in This Phase

The trainer acts as:

- moderator of knowledge exchange,
- supporter of reflective synthesis,
- facilitator of forward planning.

The trainer's responsibility is to:

- help participants articulate what they have learned,
- identify transferable insights,
- and support realistic next steps.

10.3 Structured Sharing

Participants present their interventions in a concise, structured format:

1. Initial problem
2. Defined goal
3. Selected intervention
4. Observed results
5. Key learning

The trainer should encourage clarity and focus.

The aim is not to impress, but to:

- create shared learning,
- validate effort,
- and demonstrate diversity of solutions.

10.4 Facilitating Peer Learning

Peer exchange strengthens legitimacy and confidence.

The trainer may structure discussion through:

- comparison of different approaches,
- identification of common challenges,
- recognition of innovative ideas.

Key reflection prompts:

- What solution could you adapt in your own workplace?
- What approach seemed particularly effective?
- What common obstacles emerged across cases?

Peer learning reinforces the SAFE-D principle that improvement is feasible in real SME contexts.

10.5 Consolidating Attitude Change

The trainer should explicitly address:

- perception shifts,
- increased awareness,
- and enhanced agency.

Suggested reflection questions:

- How has your understanding of ergonomics changed?
- Do you now approach workplace problems differently?
- What would you do immediately if you identified a new issue tomorrow?

This reinforces internalisation rather than episodic learning.

10.6 Planning Next Steps

Participants should identify:

- one realistic follow-up action,
- one colleague to involve,
- and one area for future improvement.

Emphasise incremental development:

Sustainable ergonomic improvement is built through small, continuous actions.

Avoid creating unrealistic expectations of large-scale reform.

10.7 Organisational Transfer

Encourage participants to consider:

- how to communicate results internally,
- how to share positive outcomes,
- how to embed lessons into routine practice.

Possible strategies include:

- informal presentations,
- short internal reports,
- demonstration of small improvements.

The trainer should highlight that visibility increases acceptance.

10.8 Closing Reflection

Before concluding the programme, the trainer may ask each participant to complete the following statements:

- “The most important insight I gained is…”
- “The change I am most proud of is…”
- “The next improvement I will initiate is…”

This creates psychological closure and forward momentum.

10.9 Final Output of the SAFE-D Intervention Cycle

By the end of Phase 8, participants should have:

- completed a full ergonomic intervention,
- documented learning and outcomes,
- reflected on competence development,
- identified future actions,
- and experienced peer validation.

The trainer should reinforce that:

The SAFE-D process is not a one-time method, but a repeatable way of approaching workplace improvement.